Appendix 2

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor:	M Harrison	Date:	09/02/11	Species:	1 no. Yew	
Location:	Holy Trinity Church	_ , Street∃	Through Shennin	 gton		
TPO Ref (if a			Tree/Group No:		Owner (if known)):
Y 2) Eair Suitable						Notes The tree has several narrow forks Which is normal for this species.
0) Dea	or Unlikely to be suite ad Unsuitable ng/dangerous* Unsu					Stem has grown around a secondary stem stub but does not affect its stability Sub Total 3
b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (Includes trees which are an existing or new outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of bed 5) 100+ Highly suitable 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10* Unsuitable						
						Sub Total 4
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - Consider realistic potential for future visibility 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable 2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable					Notes The tree Is clearly visible from the main Street through Shennington.	
Sub Total 4 d) Other factors - Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify						
5) Prir 4) Tre 3) Tre 2) Tre	ncipal components on e groups, or member es with identifiable has of particularly godes with none of the a	f arboricurs of grouistoric, co	ultural features, o ups important for ommemorative of especially if rare	r veteran tree their cohesion r habitat impo or unusual	s	Notes
						Sub Total 1
Part 2: Expediency assessment - Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify 5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only						Conservation notification area notification of
					Sub Total 5	
1.1 Part 3: Decision guide 0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO Total Score 16 Decision: TPO warranted Comments						
Comments						